IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO.915 OF 2006

Parisa Aappanna Koregave & ors. ...Appellants
vs.
Sumati Annaso @ Kallu Magdum & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.P.R.Arjunwadkar for the Appellants

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : JULY 6, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Appellants. Second Appeal is admitted on the following substantial questions of law :

i) Whether the Appellate Court committed an error by not treating the property bearing Gat No.634 and 636 as ancestral property in as much as in the receipt at Exh.54, the Respondent herself stated that the said property was an ancestral property ?

ii) Whether the Appellate Court committed an error by calculating the share of the Respondent on erroneous assumption that the property in question was self acquired property of Appanna ?

2. Second Appeal is admitted on the aforesaid substantial questions of law. Printing is dispensed with. The Appellants are directed to file private paper book within a period of one year from today failing which the Appeal will stand dismissed for non prosecution without further reference to the court.

JUDGE